

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/511,398

02/23/00

OKUMURA

T

00177/530809

IM52/0801

Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP 2033 K Street NW Suite 800 Washington DC 20006 PADGETT M
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

1762

DATE MAILED:

08/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.			^	
	09/51/398	Okumun	umura etal		
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Grou	Group Art Unit 1762		
	M.L. Padge	UT 17	762		
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet L	peneath the correspo	ondence add	resș—	
P riod for R ply	4	MEP	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S)-FRO	W-THE-MAIL	ING-DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory m fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS t statute, cause the application	inimum of thirty (30) days rom the mailing date of the to become ABANDONEI	will be consider nis communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 13	red timely. ion. 33).	
Status C /	1				
Status \Box Responsive to communication(s) filed on $2/23$	100				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1			merits is clo	sed in	
Dispositi n of Claims	·			•	
Ng Claim(s) 1-55		is/are pending	in the applic	ation.	
Of the above claim(s)		_			
□ Clạim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)	. 21	is/are rejected			
□ Claim(s)	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
M Claim(s) 1-55		are subject to		election	
Application Papers	•	.,			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examine				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		·			
M Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).		v N	
X All □ Some* □ None of the:	·				
Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	n received.			and the second	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	n received in Application	No	 •	1000	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume			* >	•	
in this national stage application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a))	er er er ke		
*Certified copies not received:		· · ·		-•	
Attachment(s)		· ·			
☐ Inf rmation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	Interview Summary, P	TO-413		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	and the same of th	Notice of Informal Pat	ent Applicati	n, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ ☐		Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Office	Acti n Summary	·			
		±-		~·`	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ____

Application/Control Number: 09/511398

Art Unit: 1762

_ *_ . .

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:(1) electrodes or (2) antenna, used in plasma process and apparatus of claims 1-26 and 35-55.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 35-54 claim both alternatively, so can be called generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/511398

Art Unit: 1762

- Claims 1-27, drawn to plasma processes and apparatus controlling plasma Ī. distribution with an annular plasma trap, when treating a substrate, classified in class 427, or 118, subclass 569, or (723E or 723I+), respectively.
- II. Claims 28-34, drawn to a matching box for high frequency power, classified in class 327, subclass 100.
- III. Claims 35-54, drawn to plasma methods and apparatus for treating a substrate, that uses a matching box between the H.F. input, and the substrate electrode, classified in class 427 or 118, subclass 569 or (723E or 723I+).
- 3. Inventions group I, and groups II + III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the operation of the annular plasma trap for controlling the plasma distribution, and the matching box circuitry operate separately, and are not dependent on each other, in order to accomplish their separate purposes.
- 4. Inventions group II and group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)).

In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be otherwise useful as the matching circuit can be used in making apparatus other than those used to plasma treat substrates, Application/Control Number: 09/511398

Art Unit: 1762

such as a high frequency plasma apparatus designed to process gaseous material or an electric discharge lamp device, etc..., as long as there is a need for matching circuits therein. Hence, the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group (I or III), or (II or III) is not required for Group II, or I, respectively, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for species (1) electrodes is not required for species (2) antenna, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/511398

Art Unit: 1762

A telephone call was made to Charles Watts on 7/5/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Mailing was requested.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to M.L.Padgett at telephone number (703) 308-2336 on M-F from about 8 a.m-4:30 p.m; and FAX # (703) 305-5408 (official), or (703) 305-6078 (unofficial).

M.L.Padgett/th

July 27, 2001

Aug. 1, 2001

GROUP 1700

Page 5